

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/775,379	WU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sylvia R MacArthur	1763	

All Participants:

(1) Sylvia R MacArthur.

(2) Sandra Johnston.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 July 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1-8

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner MacArthur contacted Ms. Johnston to ask about IDS stated to be enclosed in the application thought it was not found and the status of the claims. Ms. Johnston informed Ms. MacArthur that no such IDS was enclosed as the citation was made in error and that th preliminary amendment of 2/9/2004 cancelled claims 1-7 and only claim 8 should be acted upon on its merits. Examiner noted that only 1 of the 3 pages of the preliminary amendment were scanned..